

ON GENERAL STRONG LAWS OF LARGE NUMBERS FOR FIELDS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

CHEIKHNA HAMALLAH NDIAYE * AND GANE SAMB LO **

ABSTRACT. A general method to prove strong laws of large numbers for random fields is given. It is based on the Hájek - Rényi type method presented in Noszály and Tórnács [14] and in Tórnács and Lóbor [16]. Noszály and Tórnács [14] obtained a Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality for random fields using moments inequalities. Recently, Tórnács and Lóbor [16] obtained a Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality for random sequences based on probabilities, but not for random fields. In this paper we present a Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality for random fields, using probabilities, which is an extension of the main results of Noszály and Tórnács [14] by replacing moments by probabilities and a generalization of the main results of Tórnács and Lóbor [16] for random sequences to random fields. We apply our results to establishing a logarithmically weighted sums without moment assumptions and under general dependence conditions for random fields.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

We are concerned in this paper with strong laws of large numbers (SLLN) for random fields. Although this type of problems is entirely settled for sequence of independent real random variables (see for instance [9]) and general strong laws of large numbers for dependent real random variables based on Hájek-Rényi types inequalities. But as for random fields, they are still open. As a reminder, we recall that a family of random elements $(X_n)_{n \in T}$ is said to be a random field if the set is endowed with a partial order (\leq) , not necessarily complete. For example, and it is the case in this paper, T may be \mathbf{N}^d , where $d > 1$ is an integer and \mathbf{N} is the set of nonnegative integers. For such a real random field $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}^d}$, we intend to contribute to assessing the more general SLLN's, that is finding general conditions under which there exists a real number μ and a family of normalizing positive numbers $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}^d}$, named here as a d -sequence, such that, for $S_{(0, \dots, 0)} = 0$, and $S_n = \sum_{m \leq n} X_m$ for $n > \mathbf{0}$, one has

$$S_n/b_n \rightarrow \mu, \text{ a.s.}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60F15 60G60. Secondary 62H11 62H35.

Key words and phrases. Strong laws of large numbers, Maximal inequality, Probability inequalities, Random fields.

In the case of random fields, the data may be heavily dependent and then Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequalities are needed to obtain strong laws of large numbers, like in the real case. It seems that providing such inequalities goes back to Móricz [11] and Klesov [8]. Based on such inequalities, many authors established strong laws of large numbers such as Nguyen et al. [13], Tómacs [19], Lagodowski [10], Noszály and Tómacs [14], Móricz [12], Klesov [8], Fazekas et al. [5], Fazekas [2], [4] and the literature cited herein.

One of the motivations of finding general strong laws of large numbers comes from that the finding, as proved by Cairoli [1], that classical maximal probability inequalities for random sequences are not valid in general for random fields. Besides, nonparametric estimation for random fields or spatial processes was given increasing and simulated attention over the last few years as a consequence of growing demands from applied research areas (see for instance Guyon [6]). This results in the serious motivation to extend the Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality for probabilities for random sequences, what the cited above authors tackled.

Our objective is to give a nontrivial generalization of some fundamental results of these authors that will lead to positive answers to classical and non solved SLLN's. Before a more precise formulation of our problem, we need a few additional notation.

From now on d is a fixed positive integer. The elements of \mathbf{N}^d will be written in font bold like \mathbf{n} while their coordinate are written in the usual way like $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$. \mathbf{N}^d is endowed with the usual partial ordering, that is $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \leq \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d)$ if and only if or each $1 \leq i \leq d$, one has $n_i \leq m_i$. Further $\mathbf{m} < \mathbf{n}$ means $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{m}$. We specially denote $(1, \dots, 1) \equiv \mathbf{1}$ and $(0, \dots, 0) \equiv \mathbf{0}$. All the limits, unless specification, are meant as $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \rightarrow \infty$, that is equivalent to say that $n_i \rightarrow +\infty$ for each $1 \leq i \leq d$. To finish, any family of real numbers $(b_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in A}$ indexed by a subset \mathbf{N}^d is called a d-sequence. We intensively use product type d-sequences. A d-sequence $(b_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in A}$ is of product type if it may be written in the form

$$b_{\mathbf{n}} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} b_{n_i}^{(i)}.$$

This product type d-sequence is unbounded and nondecreasing if and only if each sequence $b_{n_i}^{(i)}$ is unbounded and nondecreasing in n_i . Now with these minimum notation, we are able to state the results of Tómacs,

Líbor and their co-authors.

On one hand, it is known that the Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality (see [3]) is an important tool for proving SLLN's for sequences. It is natural that Noszály and Tómacs [14] used a generalization of this result for random fields in order to get SLLN's for such objects. They stated

Proposition 1. *Let r be a positive real number, $a_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a nonnegative d -sequence. Suppose that $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a positive, nondecreasing d -sequence of product type. Then*

$$\forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d, \quad \mathbb{E}(\max_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\ell}|^r) \leq \sum_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} a_{\ell}$$

implies

$$\forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d, \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\ell}|^r b_{\ell}^{-r} \right) \leq 4^d \sum_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} a_{\ell} b_{\ell}^{-r}.$$

From this, they were led to the following general SLLN for random fields.

Theorem 1. *Let $a_{\mathbf{n}}, b_{\mathbf{n}}$ be non-negative d -sequences and let $r > 0$. Suppose that $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a positive, nondecreasing, unbounded d -sequence of product type. Let us assume that*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}^r} < +\infty$$

and

$$\forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d, \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}|^r \right) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}} = 0 \quad a.s.$$

On an other hand, Tómacs and Líbor [16], introduced a Hájek-Rényi inequality for probabilities and, subsequently, strong laws of large numbers for random sequences but not for random fields. They obtained first :

Theorem 2. *Let r be a positive real number, a_n be a sequence of non-negative real numbers. Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

(i) There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{\ell \leq n} |S_\ell| \geq \varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\ell \leq n} a_\ell.$$

(ii) There exists $C > 0$ such that for any nondecreasing sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of positive real numbers, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\ell \leq n} |S_\ell| b_\ell^{-1} \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\ell \leq n} a_\ell b_\ell^{-r}.$$

And next, they derived from it this SLLN.

Theorem 3. *Let a_n and b_n are non-negative sequences of real numbers and let $r > 0$. Suppose that b_n is a positive non-decreasing, unbounded sequence of positive real numbers. Let us assume that*

$$\sum_n \frac{a_n}{b_n^r} < +\infty$$

and there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{m \leq n} |S_m| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{m \leq n} a_m.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_n}{b_n} = 0 \quad a.s$$

As said previously, this paper aims at generalizing the previous results in the following way. First, we give a random fields version for Tómacs and Lóbor [16] as a first generalization in Proposition 2. Next we show that our version of Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality for probabilities for random fields is a generalization of that of Noszály and Tómacs [14] and leads to a more general SLLN.

We apply our method for logarithmically weighted sums without any moment assumption and under general dependence conditions for random fields. This shows that the generalization is not trivial.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to our main results, a Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality for probabilities for random fields and automatically a strong law of large numbers are given. Section 3 includes their proofs. Section 4 including applications and illustration of our results, concludes the paper.

2. RESULTS

We first give a Hájek-Rényi type maximal inequality for probabilities for random fields, as an extension of Proposition 1 in Noszály and Tómacs [14] and of Theorem 2.1 in Tómacs and Lóbor [16].

Proposition 2. *Let r be a positive real number, $a_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a nonnegative d -sequence. Suppose that $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a positive, nondecreasing d -sequence of product type. Then the following two statements are equivalent*

(i) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\ell}| \geq \varepsilon) \leq C \varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} a_{\ell}$$

(ii) *There exists $C > 0$ such for any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\ell}| b_{\ell}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq 4^d C \varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\ell \leq \mathbf{n}} a_{\ell} b_{\ell}^{-r}$$

We derive from this proposition a general strong law of large numbers for random fields which includes extensions of Theorem 3 in Noszály and Tómacs [14] and of Theorem 2.4 in Tómacs and Lóbor [16]. But we need this lemma first.

Lemma 1. *(See Lemma 2 in Noszály and Tómacs [14]) Let $a_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a nonnegative d -sequence and let $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a positive, nondecreasing, unbounded d -sequence of product type. Suppose that $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}^r} < +\infty$ with a fixed real $r > 0$. Then there exists a positive, nondecreasing, unbounded d -sequence $\beta_{\mathbf{n}}$ of product type for which*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{n}}}{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}^r} < +\infty.$$

Here is our general strong law of large numbers.

Theorem 4. *Let $a_{\mathbf{n}}$ be a non-negative d -sequence and let $r > 0$. Suppose that $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a positive, non-decreasing, unbounded d -sequence of product type. If*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}^r} < +\infty$$

and there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq C \varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{m}}$$

then

$$\lim_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}} = 0. \quad a.s$$

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We will need Lemma 1 and these two following lemmas

Lemma 2. *Let $\{Y_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{N}^d\}$ be a field of random variables defined on a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Then for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} > x \right) = \lim_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{n}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} > x \right)$$

Proof. It is easy to see that, for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

$$\left(\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} > x \right) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{\mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{n}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} > x \right)$$

Hence, by the monotone convergence Theorem for probabilities, we get the statement. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $\{Y_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{N}^d\}$ be a field of random variables defined on a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. and $\{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d\}$ a nondecreasing field of real numbers. If*

$$\lim_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} > \varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}} \right) = 0,$$

then $\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} < \infty$ a.s.

Proof. By using the monotone convergence Theorem for probabilities, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} \left(\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} > \varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}} \right) \right) = \lim_{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} > \varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}} \right) = 0$$

which is equivalent to $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} (\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}})) = 1$

This implies that there exists $\mathbf{n}_{\omega} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\sup_{\mathbf{k}} Y_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) \leq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}_{\omega}} < \infty$. \square

We need more notation for the proofs. In \mathbf{N}^d , the maximum is defined coordinate-wise (actually we shall use it only for rectangles). If $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbf{N}^d$, then $\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^d n_i$. A numerical sequence $a_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ is called d-sequence. If $a_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a d-sequence then its difference sequence, i.e. the d-sequence $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ for which $\sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} b_{\mathbf{m}} = a_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d$, will be denoted by $\Delta a_{\mathbf{n}}$ (i.e. $\Delta a_{\mathbf{n}} = b_{\mathbf{n}}$). We shall say that a d-sequence $a_{\mathbf{n}}$ is of product type if $a_{\mathbf{n}} = \prod_{i=1}^d a_{n_i}^{(i)}$, where

$a_{n_i}^{(i)}(n_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ is a (single) sequence for each $i = 1, \dots, d$. Our consideration will be confined to normalizing constants of product type : $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ will always denote $b_{\mathbf{n}} = \prod_{i=1}^d b_{n_i}^{(i)}$, where $b_{n_i}^{(i)}(n_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ is a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers for each $i = 1, \dots, d$. In this case we shall say that $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a positive nondecreasing d -sequence of product type. Moreover, if for each $i = 1, \dots, d$ the sequence $b_{n_i}^{(i)}$ is unbounded, then $b_{\mathbf{n}}$ is called positive, nondecreasing, unbounded d -sequence of product type. As usual, $\log^+(x) := \max(1, \log(x))$, $x > 0$ and $|\log \mathbf{n}| := \prod_{m=1}^d \log^+ n_m$.

Proof of Proposition 2. It is clear that (ii) implies (i) by taking $b_{m_j} = 1$ for all $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and $1 \leq j \leq d$. Now we turn to (i) \implies (ii). We can assume without loss of generality that $b_{0,j} = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. If not, we would replace $b_{\mathbf{m}}$ by $\prod_{j=1}^d b_{m_j}/b_{0,j}$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and (ii) would remain true with a new constant equal to $Cb_0^{-r} = C(\prod_{j=1}^d b_{0,j})^{-r}$. Now consider a fixed $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and an arbitrary a real number $c > 1$. Remark by the monotonicity of $(b_{\mathbf{m}})$ that $b_{\mathbf{m}_j} \geq 1$ for all $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and that the sequence $(c^p)_{p \geq 0}$ forms a partition of $[1, +\infty[$. This implies that for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}^d$, for any $1 \leq j \leq d$, there exists a nonnegative integer i_j such that $c^{i_j} \leq b_{m_j} < c^{i_j+1}$. Thus for $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d)$, we have that $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}} = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{N}^d \text{ and } c^{i_j} \leq b_{s_j} < c^{i_j+1}, j = 1, \dots, d\}$. Since this holds for all $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}^d$, we get

$$\mathbf{N}^d = \bigcup_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{N}^d} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

Let us restrict ourselves to $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}$, and let us define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{N}^d, \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{n} \text{ and } c^{i_j} \leq b_{s_j} < c^{i_j+1}, j = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Since $c^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}$, for $1 \leq j \leq d$, $b_{s_j} \leq b_{n_j} \leq \max\{b_{n_k}, 1 \leq k \leq d\} < \infty$, the sets $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}$ are empty for large values of i . Then put $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}} = \max\{\mathbf{i} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} \neq \emptyset\} < +\infty$ and we have

$$[0, n] = \bigcup_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}.$$

It is also noticeable that if $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}$, then necessarily \mathbf{m} is in some $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{n}}$ with $\mathbf{i}' \leq \mathbf{i}$. As well let $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} = \max \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} \leq \mathbf{n}$ and define $D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}} a_{\mathbf{m}}$ where, by convention, $D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} = 0$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} = (0, \dots, 0)$ when $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} = \emptyset$. From all that, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right).$$

Since for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}$, $b_{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{j=1}^d b_{m_j} \geq \prod_{j=1}^d c^{i_j}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} \subset [0, m_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}]$, we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| \geq \varepsilon \prod_{j=1}^d c^{i_j} \right).$$

Now by applying (i) one arrives at

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \prod_{j=1}^d c^{-ri_j} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}} a_{\mathbf{m}} \leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \prod_{j=1}^d c^{-ri_j} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{i}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}.$$

By the remark made above, $\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}$ implies that \mathbf{m} is in some $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}$ where $\mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{i}$ and then by the definition of the $D_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}$ one has $\sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{n}}} a_{\mathbf{m}} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{i}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$ and next

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \prod_{j=1}^d c^{-ri_j} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{i}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}},$$

which becomes by a straightforward manipulations on the ranges of the sums, and where $k_{\mathbf{n}}(j)$ stands for the j -th coordinate of $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) &\leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \prod_{j=1}^d c^{-ri_j} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \prod_{j=1}^d \sum_{m_j \leq i_j \leq k_{\mathbf{n}}(j)} c^{-ri_j} \\ &= C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{c^{-rm_j} - c^{-r(k_{\mathbf{n}}(j)+1)}}{1 - c^{-r}} \leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{c^{-rm_j}}{1 - c^{-r}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $c > 1$ and $k_{\mathbf{n}}(j) + 1 > m_j$. Now, at this last but one step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) &\leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \left(\frac{c^r}{1 - c^{-r}} \right)^d \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} D_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \prod_{j=1}^d c^{-r(m_j+1)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-r} \left(\frac{c^r}{1 - c^{-r}} \right)^d \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{n}}} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}} a_{\mathbf{s}} \prod_{j=1}^d c^{-r(m_j+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking into account the fact that for $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$, $c^{m_j+1} \geq b_{s_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, that is $\prod_{j=1}^d c^{r(m_j+1)} \geq b_{\mathbf{s}}^r$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) &\leq C \varepsilon^{-r} \left(\frac{c^r}{1 - c^{-r}} \right)^d \sum_{m \leq \mathbf{k}_n} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{s}}}{b_{\mathbf{s}}^r} \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-r} \left(\frac{c^r}{1 - c^{-r}} \right)^d \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{m}}}{b_{\mathbf{m}}^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since c is arbitrary $c > 1$ and $\min_{c>1} \frac{c^r}{1-c^{-r}} = 4$, we achieve the proof by

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} |S_{\mathbf{m}}| b_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq 4^d C \varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{m}}}{b_{\mathbf{m}}^r}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\beta_{\mathbf{n}}$ be the d-sequence obtained in the Lemma 1. According to Proposition 2

$$\forall \mathbf{m} \leq \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\ell \leq \mathbf{m}} |S_{\ell}| \beta_{\ell}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \leq 4^d C \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{-r} \sum_{\ell \leq \mathbf{m}} a_{\ell} \beta_{\ell}^{-r}.$$

By this fact we get for any fixed $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{N}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{\ell \leq \mathbf{m}} |S_{\ell}| \beta_{\ell}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{\mathbf{m} \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\ell \leq \mathbf{m}} |S_{\ell}| \beta_{\ell}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \\ &\leq 4^d C \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{-r} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} a_{\mathbf{n}} \beta_{\mathbf{n}}^{-r} \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{N}^d\}$ a positive, non decreasing, unbounded field of real numbers. So we have by Lemma 1

$$\lim_{\mathbf{k} \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{\ell} |S_{\ell}| \beta_{\ell}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \right) = 0.$$

Using Lemma 2

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{\ell} |S_{\ell}| \beta_{\ell}^{-1} \geq \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{N}^d \right) = 0.$$

So we have by Lemma 3

$$\sup_{\ell} |S_{\ell}| \beta_{\ell}^{-1} < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Finally by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{|S_{\mathbf{n}}|}{b_{\mathbf{n}}} = \frac{|S_{\mathbf{n}}|}{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}} \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}} \\ &\leq \sup_{\ell} |S_{\ell}| \beta_{\ell}^{-1} \frac{\beta_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}} \rightarrow 0. \text{ a.s} \end{aligned}$$

4. CONCLUSION

4.1. A first Application : Logarithmically weighted sums. The following result is an extension of Theorem 7 in Noszály and Tómacs [14] and of Theorem 4.2 in Fazekas et al. [5]. In this Theorem, we do not need any moment assumption in contrary of these above cited theorems.

Theorem 5. *Let $\{X_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}^d\}$ be a field of random variables. Let $r > 1$. We assume there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}^d$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{\ell \leq \mathbf{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \leq \ell} \frac{X_{\mathbf{k}}}{\langle \mathbf{k} \rangle} \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq C \varepsilon^{-r} \sum_{\ell \leq \mathbf{m}} \frac{1}{\langle \ell \rangle}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{|\log \mathbf{n}|} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{n}} \frac{X_{\mathbf{k}}}{\langle \mathbf{k} \rangle} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (} \mathbf{n} \rightarrow +\infty \text{) a.s}$$

Proof. Let us apply Theorem 4 with $a_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle}$ and $b_{\mathbf{n}} = |\log \mathbf{n}|$. The proof is achieved by remarking that for $r > 1$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{a_{\mathbf{n}}}{b_{\mathbf{n}}^r} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{1}{|\log \mathbf{n}|^r} \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle} < +\infty.$$

□

4.2. A second application. By using Markov's Inequality and applying our results (see Theorem 4), under the same assumptions in Noszály and Tómacs [14], we rediscover their results.

Acknowledgement.

The paper was finalized while the second author was visiting MAPMO, University of Orléans, France, in 2011. He expresses his warm thanks to responsables of MAPMO for kind hospitality. The authors also thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cairoli, R.(1970). Une inégalité pour martingales à indices multiples et ses applications. *Seminaire des Probabilités IV, Université de Strasbourg. Lecture Notes in Math.* **124**, 1-27. Springer, Berlin. (MR0270424)
- [2] Fazekas, I.(1983). Convergence of vector valued martingales with multidimensional indices. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **30/1-2** , 157 - 164. (MR0733082)
- [3] Fazekas, I. and Klesov, O. (2000). A general approach to the strong laws of large numbers. *Teor. Veroyatnost i Primenen* **45** (5), 568-583, *Theory of Probab. Appl.* **45** (3), 436-449. (MR1967791)
- [4] Fazekas, I. and Klesov, O.(1998). A general approach to the strong laws of large numbers for random fields. Technical Report n^o4 (1998), Kossuth Lajos University, Hungary.
- [5] Fazekas, I., Klesov, O. and Noszály, Cs., Tómacs, T.(1999). Strong laws of large numbers for sequences and fields. (Proceedings of the third Ukrainian - Scandinavian. *Conference in Probability theory and Mathematical Statistics 8 - 12. June 1999 Kyiv, Ukraine.* Theory Stoch. Process. 5 (1999), no. 3-4, 91-104. (MR2018403)
- [6] Guyon, X.(1995) *Random fields on a Network: Modeling, Statistics and Applications.* Springer, New York. (MR1344683)
- [7] Hájék, J. and Rényi, A.(1955). Generalization of an inequality of Kolmogorov. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **6/3** - 4, 281 - 283. (MR0076207)
- [8] Klesov, O.(1980). The Hájék-Rényi inequality for random fields and strong law of large numbers. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Mat. Statist.* **22** , 58 - 66 (Russian). (MR0568238).
- [9] Loève, M.(1977). *Probability Theory I.* Springer-Verlag, New-York.
- [10] Lagodowski Zbigniew A.(2009). Strong laws of large numbers for B-valued random fields. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society.* Article ID 485412, 12 pages. Doi:10.1155/2009/485412.
- [11] Móricz, F.(1977). Moment inequalities for the maximum of partial sums of random fields. *Acta Sci. Math.* **39**, 353-366. (MR0458535)
- [12] Móricz, F.(1983). A general moment inequality for the maximum of the rectangular partial sum of multiple series. *Acta Math. Hung.* **41**, 337 - 346. (MR0703745)
- [13] Nguyen V. Q. and Nguyen V. H.(2010). A Hájék- Rényi-type Maximal Inequality and strong laws of large numbers for multidimensional arrays. *J. Inequal. Appl.*. Art. ID 569759, 14 pp. (MR2765284)
- [14] Noszály, Cs. and Tómacs, T.(2000). A general approach to strong laws of large numbers for fields of random variables. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest.* **43**, 61 - 78. (MR1847869)
- [15] Peligrad, M. and Gut, A.(1999). Almost-sure results for a class of dependent random variables. *J. of Theoret. Probab.* **12/I**, 87 - 104. (MR1674972)
- [16] Tómacs, T. and Lóbor, Z.(2006). A Hájék - Rényi type inequality and its applications. *Ann. Math. Inform.* **33**, 141 - 149. (MR2385473)
- [17] Tómacs, T.(2007). A general method to obtain the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers. *Ann. Math. Inform.* **34**, 97-102. (MR2385429)
- [18] Tómacs, T.(2008). Convergence rate in the strong law of large numbers for mixingales and superadditive structures. *Ann. Math. Inform.* **35**, 147-154. (MR2475872)
- [19] Tómacs, T.(2009). An almost sure limit theorem for α - mixing random fields. *Ann. Math. Inform.* **36**, 123-132. (MR2580908)

E-mail address: chndiaye@ufrsat.org

LERSTAD, UNIVERSITÉ DE SAINT-LOUIS *

LMA - LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, UNIVERSITÉ CHEIKH ANTA DIOP BP 5005 DAKAR-FANN SÉNÉGAL

E-mail address: ganesamblo@ufrsat.org

** LABORATOIRE DE STATISTIQUES THÉORIQUES ET APPLIQUÉES (LSTA), UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE (UPMC) FRANCE

LERSTAD, UNIVERSITÉ DE SAINT-LOUIS.

URL: www.lsta.upmc.fr/gslslo